



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM

HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
website: www.dhbvn.org.in (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3790 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	01.10.2021
DATES OF HEARING	11.02.2022, 15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON (VC)
RAJ KUMAR	MEMBER TECHNICAL (VC)

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Mahabir Singh Chauhan, House no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal regarding wrong billing

..... Complainant / Petitioner

Vs.

XEN/Operation Divn. , DHBVN, Jind
SDO/ Operation S/U,Sub Divn. No.1, DHBVN, Jind

..... Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant

Representative

For Respondent

Representative of respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

Sh. Mahabir Singh Chauhan, House no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. J12MT900021F under SDO (OP)S/U S/Divn. No.1, DHBVN, Jind. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant filed the present complaint stating that they had been charged Rs. 8840/- in 12/2019, Rs. 53582/- in 02/2020, Rs. 30000/- in 09/2020, Rs. 62280/- in 11/2020, fixed charges Rs. 31680/- in 11/2020 and levy of the fixed charges in the bill since 2017 from the one time exceeding of the MDI is illegal and wrong. The charges so debited and recovered may be refunded back. On constant persuasion with the SDO no correct information/reply has been given by the SDO respondent. He has requested the forum to redress his complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 11.02.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The major issues raised by him were:

1. That the meter installed is not of auto reset type. This can be seen from the MT-1 report where the meter reset count has not increased. And when the meter is not of auto reset type, then how the MDI penalty is being levied month after month without manually resetting it.
2. That MDI penalty has been levied in many months where the MDI even though exceeded remained within the 10% limit and there is no penalty leviable if the MDI does not exceed 10% of the sanctioned

load.

3. That in many bills, the bills have been generated on kVAh basis by dividing the kWh reading by 0.9 whereas the actual kVAh reading was available. He submitted that they have been maintaining power factor as good as 0.98 / 0.99 then why the factor of 0.9 is being applied.
4. That even the readings are not being taken regularly by the subdivision and arbitrary readings have been filled in thereby causing wrong bills

The SDO submitted that so far as in his knowledge, the meter was auto reset. But since the meter reset count has not increased, he would ask for a report from the M&P wing on the whole issue. SDO requested for another date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 2325 dated 13.07.2022 stating that the meter installed at complainant's site was auto-reset type. But the question of complainant remained unanswered that if the meter was auto reset type, then why the MDI reset counter did not move forward. The SDO also placed on record a letter written to XEN M&P seeking the clarification in that regard again. Also, the SDO submitted in his reply that the MDI penalty so far has been levied only in the cases where it had exceeded the permissible limit of 10% and no where else. Also, that the complainant was now being

billed on kVAh basis at MF of 20 but it is so only after the complainant increased the load from 18 kW to 26 kW. That till such time the load was below 20 kW, the billing was done on kWh only and not on kVAh. The Forum asked the complainant to go through the reply submitted by SDO and raise the objection, if any, or anything else which he wanted to submit. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4035/2022
Date of Institution:	08.03.2022
Date of Hearing:	15.03.2022, 15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of MS Indus Towers Ltd., Loco Colony, Near Railway Junction. Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn.,DHBVN,Jind
SDO (OP) S/U S/Divn.,No.I, DHBVN, Jind

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

MS Indus Towers Ltd.,Loco Colony, Near Railway Junction. Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J12-6550607494 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 1. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that they had requested for disconnection of the connection on dated 05/02/2021, whereas the connection was released on 31/08/2020. But the Nigam disconnected the connection after 6 months on 31/08/2021 showing defaulting amount of Rs. 40808/- in 02/2022, wherein the defaulting amount in 08/2021 Rs. 24103/-. It means that there was no use of supply and they are liable to pay the MMC from 05/02/2021 to 31/08/2021 for 8KW sanctioned load. They have requested to render the final bill by charging MMC and adjust the ACD and credit/debit the bill amount to their other running connection. They had requested the SDO/XEN for resolving their complaint. But no response from the respondent SDO has been received till date. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.03.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The major issues raised by him were:

1. That they had applied for a new connection and the same was released in June 2020.
2. That the tower could never be operated from the said site due to some issues and therefore, there was no consumption ever recorded

in the meter.

3. That in February 2021, they applied for disconnection of the connection and were ready to pay the pending bill
4. That the SDO instead of disconnecting the connection informed that because there was defaulting amount against the account, the connection could not be disconnected on consumer's request.
5. That why the Nigam should charge for more than 6-7 months on MMC i.e. till such time the request for disconnection was not given but after the request was given, there was no logic to keep the disconnection pending and keep the billing going on

The SDO submitted that he would have to look into the matter and submit reply on the next date of hearing. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The SDO vide his letter no. 2326 dated 13.07.2022 stating that the connection was applied in June 2020 and was released in the same month. But the connection was never used and in January 2021, the complainant applied for disconnection. But the connection was not disconnected because there was defaulting amount outstanding against the complainant. Also, that now, the defaulting amount after adjusting ACD was Rs. 15913/- which the complainant needs to deposit. The Forum observed that there was no reason for not disconnecting the connection on complainant's request in January 2021. The Forum directed SDO to

recalculate the outstanding amount taking into consideration the MMC bill from the date of connection to the date of disconnection request from the complainant and be placed before the Forum on the next date of hearing for further arguments and decision. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4037/2022
Date of Institution:	08.03.2022
Date of Hearing:	15.03.2022, 15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Mandi Kalan, Uchana, Distt.
Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Narwana
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Uchana

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Mandi Kalan, Uchana, Distt. Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J34-TC-90/0044/7716361000 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. Uchana. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that a sum of Rs. 46560/- is debited in their account and billed on 12/08/2021 without issuing Show Cause Notice to them and without affording any opportunity to contest the demand of Rs. 46560/-. The notice memo no. 10/HM dated 07/01/2021 for Rs. 46560/- is stated to have been issued to them is only provided after the debit of the amount and through their RTI application dated 25/10/2021 and was without the details. The bill so issued on 12/08/2021 for Rs. 88620/- is also wrong. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.03.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The complainant submitted that after extension of load, CT meter was installed in place of whole current meter but the same was not updated in the system which caused wrong billing. Also, the Multiplying Factor has not been applied correctly even after up-dation of the CT meter in the billing system. The SDO was directed to submit his reply on the issues raised in the complaint as well as during the hearing. The SDO requested for another date for filing the facts and detailed reply on the matter. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no.

977 dated 20.06.2022 stating that the issues raised by the complainant were not correct and therefore no correction was required. Copy of the reply is sent to complainant for further submission and for raising objections, if any, by the next date of hearing for detailed arguments. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4042/2022
Date of Institution:	14.03.2022
Date of Hearing:	15.03.2022, 15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Kasoon, Uchana, Distt.
Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Narwana
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Uchana

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Mandi Kalan, Uchana, Distt. Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J34-TC-90-0070/5534261000 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. Uchana. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that their connection was released on 30/03/2017. The meter reading was not recorded till 01/2020 and provisional bills were being issued. The account no. was changed, but the reading was again not recorded and the inflated bills were issued. They have requested the respondent SDO to issue the bill on the basis of actual recorded consumption and withdraw the inflated bills along with surcharge, but no action has been taken till date to resolve their grievances. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.03.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The complainant submitted that bills raised were not as per reading and the system had been generating bills on average basis. The SDO was directed to submit his reply on the issues raised in the complaint as well as during the hearing. The SDO requested for another date for filing the facts and detailed reply on the matter. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 975 dated 20.06.2022 stating that the issues raised by the complainant had been looked into and it was found that an amount of Rs. 26432/- was adjustable /

refundable. Copy of the reply is sent to complainant for further submission and for raising objections, if any, by the next date of hearing for detailed arguments. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4111/2022
Date of Institution:	05.04.2022
Date of Hearing:	15.04.2022, 15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Uchana, Distt. Jind, H.no.
58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Narwana
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Uchana

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Uchana, Distt. Jind, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram, Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J34-TC-90/0043 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. Uchana. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that a sum of Rs. 147897/- is debited in their account and billed on 12/08/2021 without issuing Show Cause Notice to them and without affording any opportunity to contest the demand of Rs. 147897/-. The 4 no. half margins were stated to have been issued due to extension of load. But the details of the same have been provided only after debiting of the amount and through their RTI application dated 25/10/2021 and requested for correct MF be entered in billing records to avoid half margins. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.04.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The complainant submitted that after extension of load, CT meter was installed in place of whole current meter but the same was not updated in the system which caused wrong billing. Also, the Multiplying Factor has not been applied correctly even after up-dation of the CT meter in the billing system. The SDO was directed to submit his reply on the issues raised in the complaint as well as during the hearing. The SDO requested for another date for filing the facts and detailed reply on the matter. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO were present through video call. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no.

976 dated 20.06.2022 stating that the issues raised by the complainant had been looked into and it was found that an amount of Rs. 31680/- is adjustable / refundable. Copy of the reply is sent to complainant for further submission and for raising objections, if any, by the next date of hearing for detailed arguments. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	3787/2021
Date of Institution:	01/10/2021
Date of Hearing:	15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Dalamwala, H.no. 59/4,
R.K. Pural, Karnal.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Jind
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Nagura

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

MS Indus Towers Ltd., Vill. Dalamwala, H.no. 59/4, R.K. Pural, Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J14-TN90-0044L under SDO (OP) S/Divn.,DHBVN, Nagura. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that they have a permanent NDS connection. The meter of the connection was checked by M&P Divn. DHBVN Hisar vide MP-19/533 on dated 30/09/2020. The M&P in its checking found that the meter was running slow by 49.79%. The Nigam charged a sum of Rs. 114048/- treating the meter as defective from 12/2019. That M&P Divn. DHBVN Hisar intimated that the tampered data had been analysed and found that the meter was working slow by 49.79% due to R phase CT open from 23/12/2018 at reading 13553.999 to the date of checking i.e. 30/09/2020 at reading 18256.1. Now a sum of Rs. 96332/- has been accessed through Half Margin. The defective meter was replaced on 10/02/2021 and the revised assessment is prepared for Rs. 166155/- and debited in the bill issued on 15/03/2021. They have requested for withdrawal of assessment of Rs. 114048/- debited in 12/2020 and Rs. 166115/- in 03/2021. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.06.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as SDO were present through video call. The SDO requested for next date for submission of the detailed reply. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present

through phone call but the SDO was present through his representative. The SDO referred to his previous reply and argued that whatever charging had been done was correct and there was no reason to withdraw the same. The Forum asked the complainant to go through the reply of subdivision again and submit the objections, if any. The Forum also directed SDO to place on record the copies of checking report of M&P and the consumption data before and after the meter was declared slow. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	3788/2021
Date of Institution:	01/10/2021
Date of Hearing:	15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of M/S Indus Towers Ltd at Vita Milk Plant, H.no. 58/4,
R.K. Puram Karnal.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Jind
SDO (OP) S/U S/Divn., DHBVN, No. I

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

M/S Indus Towers Ltd at Vita Milk Plant, H.no. 58/4, R.K. Puram Karnal has an electricity connection bearing account no. J12MT900013H/6678910000 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. I. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that they have been assessed Rs. 25900/- and Rs. 13200/- vide half margin no. 045 and 046/71/2017 dated 19/01/2018, Rs. 44160/-vide half margin no. 21/59/2018 dated 27/08/2018, Rs. 25500/-vide half margin no. 87/98/2019 and Rs. 39298/- vide half margin no. 98/93/2019 dated 09/12/2019 which is incorrect and in violations of the HERC Regulations. Further the MDI reading was not reset even once in last 2½ year till 12/2020 when the meter was replaced on the sanction of the extension of the load applied by them. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.06.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The major issues raised by him were:

1. That the meter installed is not of auto reset type. This can be seen from the MT-1 report where the meter reset count has not increased. And when the meter is not of auto reset type, then how the MDI penalty is being levied month after month without manually resetting it.
2. That MDI penalty has been levied in many months where the MDI even

though exceeded remained within the 10% limit and there is no penalty leviable if the MDI does not exceed 10% of the sanctioned load.

3. That in many bills, the bills have been generated on kVAh basis by dividing the kWh reading by 0.9 whereas the actual kVAh reading was available. He submitted that they have been maintaining power factor as good as 0.98 / 0.99 then why the factor of 0.9 is being applied.
4. That even the readings are not being taken regularly by the subdivision and arbitrary readings have been filled in thereby causing wrong bills

The SDO submitted that so far as in his knowledge, the meter was auto reset. But since the meter reset count has not increased, he would ask for a report from the M&P wing on the whole issue. SDO requested for another date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the same issues which he has stated at length in his complaint. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 2324 dated 13.07.2022 stating that the meter installed at complainant's site was auto-reset type. But the question of complainant remained unanswered that if the meter was auto reset type, then why the MDI reset counter did not move forward. The SDO also placed on record a letter written to XEN M&P seeking the clarification in that regard again. Also, the SDO submitted in his reply that the MDI penalty so far has been levied only in the cases where it had exceeded the permissible limit of 10% and no where else. Also, that the complainant was now being billed on kVAh basis at MF of 20 but it is so only after the complainant

increased the load from 18 kW to 26 kW. That till such time the load was below 20 kW, the billing was done on kWh only and not on kVAh. The Forum asked the complainant to go through the reply submitted by SDO and raise the objection, if any, or anything else which he wanted to submit. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	3789/2021
Date of Institution:	01/10/2021
Date of Hearing:	15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of M/S Indus Towers Ltd, New Anaj Mandi, Jind

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Jind
SDO (OP) S/U S/Divn., DHBVN, No. II

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

M/S Indus Towers Ltd, New Anaj Mandi, Jind has an electricity connection bearing account no. 0643441511 under SDO (OP) S/Divn.,DHBVN, No. II. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that they have been charged Rs. 142593/- due to wrong assessment in 11/2020 due to meter found defective from 04/12/2018 to 09/01/2020. They have requested for refund of assessment amount after following the prescribed HERC Regulations 29/2014. They have requested the Forum to redress their complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.06.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The complainant again reiterated the issues which he has raised in his complaint. The major issues raised by him were:

1. That penalty for slowness of meter after it is detected can be charged for not more than 6 months whereas the subdivision has imposed penalty for a period of last one year.
2. That in case the date of slowness or the date of meter having gone defective can be established, then the penalty can be imposed only for the period of such defectiveness.
3. That in the instant case, the M&P report itself shows that the meter became defective 106 days back from the date of checking and therefore the penalty cannot be levied for more than the established period.

The SDO requested for another date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The SDO submitted his reply vide memo no. 976 dated 04.07.2022 wherein he has stated that after making all corrections, an amount of Rs. 46668/- has been found refundable. The Forum directed SDO to send a copy of this reply and detailed calculation of Rs. 46668/- to the complainant so that he can raise objections, if any, and detailed arguments can be held on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 through video call. The SDO as well as complainant were present through video call. The Forum asked the complainant whether he wanted to submit anything on the SDO's reply submitted last time. The complainant argued that since the beginning, he has been demanding a parawise reply to all the issues raised by him in the complainant but so far, the parawise reply has not been submitted by the SDO. Therefore, the matter remains pending because he is not able to argue unless he gets parawise reply. The Forum observed that SDO should submit para wise reply to the issues raised by the complainant in one go so that matter can be argued and concluded. The Forum directed the SDO to submit parawise reply and send it to the complainant also latest by 10.09.2022 so that matter can be concluded on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4230/2022
Date of Institution:	06.06.2022
Date of Hearing:	15.06.2022, 14.07.2022, 17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Kumar Chopra	Chairperson (VC)
Raj Kumar	Member Technical (VC)

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Ajay Kumar Singhal, Aditi Cold Store, Opp. New Sabji Mandi, Distt. Jind.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Jind
SDO (OP) City S/Divn., DHBVN, Jind

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

Sh. Ajay Kumar Singhal, Aditi Cold Store, Opp. New Sabji Mandi, Distt. Jind has an electricity connection bearing account no. 6001320000 under SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Jind. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he earlier had LT category connection and now HT on which penalty have been levied since 2015 of Rs. 43735/- which is totally out of order and against the rule and regulation of HERC. Further he has extended his load from 68 to 75 for which he had deposited unnecessary cost of estimate Rs. 35629/- as he got his work completed in self execution scheme. He has requested for refund of Rs. 79364/- total amount which should be adjusted in his energy bills.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.06.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.06.2022 at Jind. Complainant was present through video call whereas the SDO was present in person. The SDO requested for next date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

Proceedings were held on 14.07.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO was present in person. The SDO requested for next date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2022.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the

SDO was present in person on video call. The SDO requested for next date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM
Telephone No. 01242971798
(website: www.dhbvn.org.in) (e-mail ID: cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

Case No. DH/CGRF-	4319/2022
Date of Institution:	22.07.2022
Date of Hearing:	17.08.2022

**BEFORE THE
FORUM FOR REDRESSING OF CONSUMR GRIEVANCES**

Present:

Sanjeev Chopra	Chairperson
Raj Kumar	Member Technical

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Jai Kishan S/O Nihal Singh, Vill- Khokri, Teh
Jind.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Jind
SDO (OP) S/U S/Divn. No. 1, DHBVN, Jind

.....Respondents

Appearance:

For Complainant: Representative

For the Respondent: Representative of Respondent SDO

INTERIM ORDER

Sh. Jai Kishan S/O Nihal Singh, Vill- Khokri, Teh Jind has an tubewell connection bearing account no. KH-04-667 under SDO (OP) S/Divn. No 1, DHBVN, Jind. Hence, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he has a tubewell connection in murabba no. 72 kila no. 3/2. The water of which has become polluted. He wants to shift this connection to murabba no. 40 kila no. 25. He has paid all the outstanding dues and applied for shifting of this tubewell connection, but the DHBVN is not taking any action to shift this connection. He is also ready to deposit the estimated amount of shifting of this connection. He has requested the Forum to redress his complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 17.08.2022 at Jind for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 17.08.2022 at Jind. Complainant as well as the SDO was present in person on video call. The SDO requested for next date for submission of complete facts of the case. The Forum directed SDO to look at the issues of complaint comprehensively and to submit the reply in one go. Now to come up for hearing on 16.09.2022.

(RAJ KUMAR)
Member Technical

(SANJEEV CHOPRA)
Chairperson